

For each section there are the same 6 questions	Our answers are all the same except where shown lower down the table	
	1	Y
	2	Y to all
	3	Y
	4	bLANK
	5	N
	6	Yes toall
And generally this pattern everywhere except:		
5 –	flood risk SP6	
	For 2 say	No
	And for 4 say	With respect to run-off on pre-developed sites the maximum discharge rate equivalent to 50% of the exiting 1 in 100 year runoff rate is not acceptable. There is normally an logarithmic relationship between probability and run-off data. Thus 50% of the existing runoff rate has a priority of about 0.1 i.e. is a 1 in 10 year event. This is unacceptable and could lead to relatively frequent flooding. A 1 in 50 year runoff rate would be much more appropriate
	SP7	
		5.30f Refers to local communities taking responsibility for the upkeep of their public spaces. This would appear to be a move from the usual maintenance by local authorities. If parish councils are to lead such maintenance, there needs to be consultation on how this is to be achieved and financed
	SP11	
	For 2 say no	
	For 4 say	All proposals demonstrating biodiversity gain of 10% minimum “ if intended to mean ALL planning proposal would seem to be impractical as this would include every house extension requiring planning permission. That this is the intention is reinforced in 5.87 by “The assessment should be proportional to the scale of the development and so for householder and most minor applications this will initially involve a Preliminary Protected Species Survey or Preliminary Ecological Appraisal”. It is unlikely there are sufficient ecologists available to produce such surveys for every planning application.
	SP14	
	For 4	6.36 identifies Mortimer as a Service Village whereas in the hierarchy of settlements it is defined as a Rural Service Centre i.e. one level higher
7	Employment land SP20	

