



Stratfield Mortimer Parish Council

NDP Implementation Committee Meeting

Meeting held on Tuesday 4th October 2016 in The Methodist Church, West End Road, Mortimer at 7:00pm

Present:

Councillors: Cllr. P. Wingfield, Cllr. N. Carter, Cllr. D. Ives, Cllr. L. Jones Cllr. N Kiley, Mrs. D. Morsley, Mr. T. Barber.

Clerk: H. Selwyn-Jones (Parish Clerk)

Public/Press: There were 0 members of public present and 0 members of the Press

16/23	<p>Public Session None</p>	
16/24	<p>Apologies for absence: Apologies were received from Cllr. M. Dennett, Cllr. J. Earl, Cllr. A. Richardson, Mrs S. Carter</p>	
16/25	<p>Declarations of Interest: None.</p>	
16/26	<p>To receive and approve minutes dated 6th September 2016: The minutes were received and, subject to amendment to item 16/18 Project 3 to read "The project will not be progressed until after the referendum", were AGREED and signed. Any matters arising from the minutes not on Agenda: None.</p>	
16/27	<p>To receive progress updates on priority project scoping documents: Project 3 'Tree Preservation Order'. – Mrs. D. Morsley The project will not progress until after the referendum. Project 9. 'Extension of Station Car Park'– Cllr N. Kiley & Mr. T. Barber Cllr. C. Kiley reported he had: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Contacted WBC and a meeting with Gary Lugg was being set up. ii. Contacted GWR and a meeting with Tom Pierpoint was being set up for w/c 10/10/16 Consideration of the spaces needed point to an initial 1st phase providing an extra 100 spaces was being considered necessary with an extra possible phase to provide further spaces. iii. Established that GWR are keen to maintain the management of Car Parks, but the needs need to be "bottomed out". <p>Cllr. N. Carter raised the possibility of approaching Network Rail for funding. Cllr. N. Kiley, in reply, advised that funding was a later aspect to consider.</p> <p>Project 12. & 13. 'Extension of Fairground Facilities and Sports Facilities' The circulated scoping document was received and discussed Mrs. D. Morsley commented that there need for Public Toilets and this was discussed, especially the on-going cost implications of the daily management of Toilets. The Clerk mentioned that SMPC's Fairground, Trees and Amenities Committee had already discussed this and also commented that the Fairground Trust needed to be approached on any proposals affecting the Fairground.</p> </p>	

	<p>It was pointed out that the list of ideas generated by the answers to Question 19 of the NDP Consultation needed to be streamed down to a more manageable length.</p> <p>Mr. T. Barber raised the question as to who/how will the interested groups of 200+ people be pulled together to deliver these streams and after discussion it was concluded that this needed further thought and discussion.</p> <p>It was then AGREED that Cllr. P. Wingfield would update this document for presentation by Full Council on 13th October 2016.</p> <p>Project 17. 'Provision of Footpath and Cycleway Connecting Mortimer and Burghfield'</p> <p>Cllr. P. Wingfield reported on a meeting held with John Winstanley of WBC where various past projects gave a number of bits of useful information relating to the possible options for consideration with this project.</p> <p>Examples of a footpath along the road indicated a cost factor of some £200,000, whereas a footpath with a type 1 base and blinded with granite across the commons indicated a cost factor of some £40,000.</p> <p>Discussion of this second option brought out:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The fact that there were a number of slopes up and down along the potential route, which would need stepping to avoid water run off erosion potentially adding to the costs ii. The recommended width would need to be 2.5m iii. The need to consider the scale and quality of what is needed iv. Maintenance needed to maintain the drainage needed to prevent frost heave in the cold weather v. Concerns over the use of the pathway by bikes, motorbikes and quad bikes, but it was recognised that this would be difficult and costly to prevent vi. The fact that WBC would do the design and specification, which would also ensure that Members Bid grants would be guaranteed vii. The question was raised as to whether the issues raised above could prevent the project going ahead to which the answered no as we just needed to look at strategies to address the potential issues <p>Project 27. & 30. 'Identify Heritage Sites and Develop a Mortimer Heritage Trail'</p> <p>Cllr. D. Ives advised that Peter Stone of the Mortimer History Society has been contacted and they already have a document, which we now have a copy of.</p> <p>It was also advised that the best way forward is perhaps a website providing locations and maps of the sites.</p> <p>The question was raised as to whether SMPC should prepare a trail to be followed or a location of sites enabling a free walk as desired.</p> <p>The general view was that a trail would be better and Cllr D. Ives advised that he had already identified 2 walks.</p> <p>It was proposed by Cllr. D. Ives and Cllr. P. Wingfield that a website based self-printed leaflet of each trail should be generated and Mr. T. Barber recommended that professional expertise should be got to develop this.</p> <p>It was AGREED that Cllr. D. Ives, Cllr. N. Carter and The Clerk should meet to develop an initial design specification for The Clerk to obtain costs for.</p>	<p>PW</p> <p>DI</p>
--	---	-----------------------------------

16/28	<p>To receive and agree on a report on the financing implications and opportunities for the active and other potential projects for presentation to Full Council on Thursday 13th October:</p> <p>The report was received and after a brief discussion it was AGREED to present the report to Full Council as it stood for acceptance.</p>	PW
16/29	<p>To receive an update on the NDP:</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Discussion then regarding on the responsibilities involved in getting the NDP through its remaining processes and it was AGREED that the Steering Group should stay in place to work with WBC in managing this.</p>	
16/30	<p>Minor matters for information only:</p> <p>Mr. T. Barber expressed the need to draw up notional fee indications for the projects under discussion ready for SMPC's budget considerations for 2017-2018</p> <p>Mrs. D. Morsley expressed her concern about progressing other project from within the NDP and questioned whether there was a need at this time to present further projects. It was AGREED that a decision needs to be made.</p> <p>It was confirmed that if necessary, because of the tight timescales, Extraordinary Meetings could be called for quicker decision making in response of proposals.</p>	Clerk
16/31	<p>Proposed agenda items:</p> <p>None</p>	
<p>The meeting closed at 8:30pm The date of the next meeting to be 1st November @ 7:00pm.</p>		